P Risk Assessment and Current Management Tools

Dr. Nicole Fiorellino Assistant Professor & Extension Agronomist



Outline

1

- Why are soil P concentrations excessive?
- Why do we care about excessive soil P concentrations?
- How can we identify and manage excessive soil P?
- Guidance to growers









Lessons Learned Over Time

- Manure recommendations at the time were based on the best available science assumed an "unlimited capacity" for soils to hold P
- Environmental challenges have accelerated our knowledge of P behavior and management in soils







If soil P is only ½ of the equation, what is the whole equation then??

- Source of P alone does not indicate high risk for P loss
- The P has to be transported to water somehow....route of transport of P is the other half of the equation





Identifying and Managing Excessive P & Loss Risk





- Where source and transport overlap = high risk of P loss
- P Index or PMT identifies these areas and targets management changes for loss prevention



























- On individual fields with FIV-P ≥ 150
- This is the "tipping point"
- Reminder: source is only half of the equation!





Field Information Needed

- Estimated erosion
- Runoff
- Subsurface drainage
- Distance to surface water
- Buffers
- Field slope

- Soil test
- P applications (fertilizer and organic)
- Timing and method of applications
- Soil physical properties (soil type)



MARYLAND

Field Information Needed – Web Soil Survey

- Dominant map unit (soil type)
- Drainage class
- Permeability class
- Hydrologic soil group (HSG)
- Distance to surface water





Field Information Needed - Grower

- Crop rotation
- Timing and type of tillage
- Nutrient sources, with timing and application method
- Presence and width of buffers
- Presence of surface water
- Soil test results



Input Factors from operator or plan

- Soil P converted to FIV (soil test report)
 Application method and timing for
- Degree of P saturation via Mehlich 3 (soil test report)
- Amount, analysis and type of P fertilizer applied
- Application method and timing of P fertilizer application
- Amount and type of manure, compost or biosolids applied
- Manure, compost, or biosolids analysis

MARYLAND

- Application method and timing for manure, compost, or biosolids application
- Type and width of vegetated field buffers
- Crop rotation sequence
- Tillage rotation sequence
- Conservation practices such as strip or contour cropping, buffer strips, etc.
- Artificial drainage areas (drainage ditches, tile drains, or mole drains)





Interpreting PMT Score

• Unitless value with three management categories

| L 01/2 0 50 | |
|----------------|--|
| LOW. 0-50 | Low P loss potential; P applications should not exceed P removal over three-year period to prevent further P build-up |
| Medium: 51-100 | Moderate P loss potential: P application to P removal for the year of application; prevent further P build-up and risk of incidental P loss from high application rate |
| High: >100 | High P loss potential: no P application and active remediation techniques implemented (drawdown of soil P) |

Guidance for Growers

- If soil P concentrations are low, avoid continued application of P source that will ultimately increase soil P concentrations (above the 150 FIV threshold)
 - *Buildup of soil P concentrations is a LONG, SLOW process
 - *Monitor fields where soil P is increasing, use amendments sparingly (where possible)
 - Seek creative opportunities for manure disposal or transport options available through the state to move manure to low P areas

MARYLAND

If my soil P is low, it's going to impact my yields; I need to get my soil P >150 FIV 100 Relative Yield (% 80 60 Medium Optimum Excessive **40** 20 0 10 20 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140 150 0 30 40 50 60 MAR Soil Test Phosphorus (FIV)

Guidance for Growers

- If soil P concentrations are low, avoid continued application of P to increase soil P concentrations
- If soil P concentrations are high, continue growth of high yielding crops with no P application to drawdown soil P concentrations over time
 - *Drawdown is as slow of a process as buildup of soil P
 - *This is our only real recommendation for soil P drawdown at this time

| MARYLAND FORWARD | X |
|------------------|---|
| 29 | |

Return to agronomic optimum soil P through phytoremediation (Fiorellino et al. 2017)

• 18 - 44 years predicted to drawdown soil P with continuous cropping and no additional P application

| Rotation | Upper Marlboro | Salisbury | Wye |
|----------|-------------------|-----------|-----|
| | Years of drawdown | | |
| Forage | 19 | 21 | 18 |
| Grain | 25 | 25 | 23 |

| **Starting P concentration, mg kg ⁻¹ | Years of drawdown | | |
|---|-------------------|--|--|
| 50 | - | | |
| 109 | 2 | | |
| 181 | 22 | | |
| 271 | 36 | | |
| 362 | 44 | | |
| **at Salisbury location only** | | | |

